

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/987,971	11/16/2001	Mitsuhiro Nishida	K-2020	7874
7	590 07/28/2003			
KANESAKA AND TAKEUCHI			EXAMINER	
1423 Powhatar Alexandria, V			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	હ
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •			<u>₩</u> \$.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/987,971	NISHIDA ET AL.	
navicery nation	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T Piziali	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. RR 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the final (conginally set in the finally set in the f	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 7-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		·	
10. Other:	, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			



Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment, limiting the low refractive index layer to a layer formed of acrylic resin containing fluorine or silicon resin an including particles of fluorine resin, would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

ARCHENE TURNER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

2